Effects of aging on encoding of walking direction in the human brain
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ABSTRACT

Human aging is characterized by impaired spatial cognition and reductions in the distinctiveness of category-specific fMRI activation patterns. Yet, little is known about age-related decline in neural distinctiveness of information that humans use when navigating spatial environments. Here, we asked whether neural tuning functions of walking direction are broadened in older versus younger adults. To test this idea, we developed a novel method that allowed us to investigate changes in fMRI-measured pattern similarity while participants navigated in different directions in a virtual spatial navigation task. We expected that directional tuning functions would be broader in older adults, and thus activation patterns that reflect neighboring directions would be less distinct as compared to non-adjacent directions. Because loss of distinctiveness leads to more confusions when information is read out by downstream areas, we analyzed predictions of a decoder trained on directional fMRI patterns and asked (1) whether decoder confusions between two directions increase proportionally to their angular similarity, (2) and how this effect may differ between age groups. Evidence for tuning-function-like signals was found in the retrosplenial complex and early visual cortex, reflecting the primarily visual nature of directional information in our task. Significant age differences in tuning width, however, were only found in early visual cortex, suggesting that less precise visual information could lead to worse directional signals in older adults. At the same time, only directional information encoded in RSC, but not visual cortex, correlated with memory on task. These results shed new light on neural mechanisms underlying age-related spatial navigation impairments and introduce a novel approach to measure tuning specificity using fMRI.

1. Introduction

A central goal of aging research is to understand how aging-related neurobiological changes affect computational functions of the brain. One important approach has been to investigate how aging changes the representation of sensory information in the brain (Voss et al., 2008; Carp et al., 2011; Schmolesky et al., 2000), which in turn might affect cognitive operations that rely on these representations (Salves and Lindenberger, 1997; Li et al., 2001). A prominent finding in this regard is that neural patterns are less specific to the category of sensory information in older adults, a phenomenon commonly referred to as neural dedifferentiation (e.g. D. C. Park et al., 2004; Koen and Rugg, 2019, for recent reviews). Here, we studied age-related neural dedifferentiation in the domain of spatial navigation.

In particular, in this study we asked if aging changes how brain areas sensitive to visual and spatial information encode angular walking direction during navigation (Cullen and Taube, 2017; Blair and Sharp, 1996). In young animals, electrophysiological recordings of visually sensitive neurons in primary visual cortex (V1) (De Valois and De Valois, 1980) and direction-sensitive neurons in the thalamus (Taube et al., 1990a, 1990b) have revealed that although most neurons have a preferred stimulus, they are not firing in an all-or-none fashion. Rather, cells tend to fire proportionally to the similarity between the observed stimulus and their preferred stimulus, exhibiting response properties
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that are well approximated by a so-called Gaussian ‘tuning function’ centered around the preferred stimulus. Modelling work has also shown that a population of cells with those tuning properties will optimally encode an approximately Gaussian likelihood function of the stimulus given the population response; and suggested that this likelihood function is read out, or decoded, by downstream populations that compute optimal behavior based on sensory input (Jazayeri and Movshon, 2006; Averbeck et al., 2006). The focus of the present paper was therefore to understand age-related differences in the properties of population-based tuning functions that encode directional information, which we here broadly define as information used to derive walking direction.

Understanding age-effects on population-level tuning properties is important given the large number of previous investigations that have suggested a loss of specificity of neural representations in older animals and humans. This originated from reports of fMRI activation patterns in inferior temporal cortex losing categorical specificity with increasing age, i.e. activity patterns evoked by face-, place- or word-stimuli are more similar in older versus younger adults (e.g. D. C. Park et al., 2004; Voss et al., 2008; Burianová et al., 2013; Carp et al., 2011). Neuronal dedifferentiation has also been linked to memory impairment with older age (Zheng et al., 2018; Koen et al., 2019) and related changes to similarity of neural representations might play a crucial role in the encoding and retrieval of memory content (Koen et al., 2019; Sommer et al., 2019). Moreover, electrophysiological recordings in V1 of senescent Rhesus monkeys have found that tuning curves of visual orientation responsive neural populations broaden with age, effectively widening the spectrum of orientation angles a single neuron responds to (Leventhal et al., 2003; Schmolesky et al., 2000). According to the neural broadening hypothesis these changes in firing properties of neural populations are a potential mechanism behind neural dedifferentiation, a notion which found support in a recent fMRI study (J. Park et al., 2012).

However, while electrophysiological recordings showed broadening within a single, continuous domain (e.g. visual orientation), the fMRI evidence is based on increased pattern similarity across distinct domains processed in anatomically separate brain areas (e.g. faces vs. houses). This is an important difference because the broader tuning functions over a continuous domain found in animals likely relate to changes in local inhibitory control (Leventhal et al., 2003). The mechanisms underlying cross-category dedifferentiation across areas as found in humans, on the other hand, must be non-local and are generally much less well understood. Thus, our focus on age-related changes in tuning properties of areas sensitive to walking direction, a continuous variable, would allow us to build a closer link to animal studies. Moreover, the investigation of visual and directional representations that support spatial navigation might lead to insights into why age-related memory impairments are particularly pronounced in the spatial domain (Molfat, 2009; Lester et al., 2017), since spatial memory relies on a sense of direction, for instance during path integration (McNaughton et al., 2006; Seelig and Jayaraman, 2015).

We investigated age-related changes in how information underlying walking direction is represented in the human brain. As is the case for most natural circumstances, walking direction in our task was directly related to visual input, and thus visually-independent directional signals are difficult to discern from visually-dependent directional signals. Therefore, our focus was to understand how any information that could be used to determine one’s walking direction was processed in the brain. We analyzed fMRI data from a previous study that used a spatial virtual reality VR-walking paradigm (Schuck et al., 2015). This work has shown that the neural underpinnings of different spatial navigation strategies are changed, and partly dedifferentiated in older adults (see also Schuck et al., 2013). In the present paper we went beyond this work by investigating the encoding of walking directional information that is involved in any spatial strategy. Our hypotheses were threefold: first, we expected that fMRI signals stemming from directionally- and visually-tuned neural populations will allow us to decode walking direction above chance (directional and visual similarity were linked in the present data, as they are in daily life). Second, the similarity of two representations arising from different walking directions should be inversely proportional to the angular difference between these directions. Because our focus was on representational structure from the perspective of downstream areas which read out population level tuning functions (Jazayeri and Movshon, 2006; Averbeck et al., 2006), we investigated the probability of a decoder in confusing similar patterns, rather than the similarity directly. A tuning function-like signal should lead to systematically more confusions between neighboring directions, effectively taking the shape of a Gaussian tuning function as seen in the analysis of electrophysiological recordings in animals (Mazurek et al., 2014). Using backwards walking periods and computational analyses of input similarity, we also explored to what extent directional signals were visually dependent. Finally, our most central hypothesis was that older adults should show decreased specificity of walking directional representations, which we tested by comparing the width of the fMRI-derived tuning functions.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Participants

This study is a re-analysis of data from 26 younger (21–34) and 22 older (56–74) male participants, as reported in Schuck et al. (2015). In addition to the exclusion criteria used in the original study (insufficient task performance, signal loss), we excluded participants with an unsuitable distribution of walking direction events that resulted in too little data for at least one direction to train the classifier (three participants, one younger, two older; for details see supplementary material section one). Additionally, one younger and one older participant had to be excluded due to missing directional information or excessive motion during the task, respectively. Therefore, 43 participants (24 younger, 21–34 years, = 27.87, 27.87; 19 older, 56–74 years, = 67, 67 = 3.93; = 67. 67 = 3.93) entered the analysis. Additional subject characteristics can be found in (Schuck et al., 2015).

2.2. Virtual reality task

Participants performed a desktop-based virtual environment (VE) spatial memory task while they underwent fMRI. The task was programmed using UnrealEngine2 Runtime software (Epic, https://www.unrealengine.com) and participants were familiarized with all procedures before entering the MRI scanner, for details see Schuck et al. (2015). The VE displayed a grass plane surrounded by a circular, non-traversable stone wall with a diameter of 180 virtual meters (vm; 1 vm = 62.5 Unreal Units). Beyond the stone wall distal orientation cues, including multiple mountains, clouds, and the sun, were projected at infinite distance. Inside the arena a landmark was placed in the form of a traffic cone, see Fig. 1. Participants were able to freely move around the arena. All movements were controlled using an MR-compatible joystick (NATA Technology, Coquitlam, Canada) and exhibited constant speed. Right and left tilts of the joystick led to corresponding rotations of the player’s viewing direction. Forward and backward tilts controlled walking. Note that due to the experimental setup participants could not experience vestibular rotations and navigation therefore had to rely mainly on visual input. A full crossing of the environment took approximately 15 s. Location and viewing direction of the player were recorded every 100 ms.

Participants were first asked to encode the locations of objects that were shown within the arena. Afterwards, the participants’ main task was to navigate to the locations of these objects after a cue was displayed (see Fig. 1; 5 objects, 6 trials per object, maximum time to relocate an object was 120s, for details see Schuck et al., 2015). The analyses presented in this paper are solely concerned with directional signals independent of task condition. Thus, we considered all periods of fMRI
Recording that involved free navigation in a known environment. Encoding and transfer trials mentioned in the original publication were excluded since in encoding trials the environment was novel and movement was directed by cues and transfer trials involved changes to the environment that could potentially lead to direction remapping (e.g., Taube et al., 1990b).

### 2.3. Image acquisition

A 3 Tesla Siemens Magnetom Trio (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) research- and transfer trials involved changes to the environment that could potentially lead to direction remapping (e.g., Taube et al., 1990b).

#### 2.3.1. Univariate estimation of directional fMRI signals

Participants’ behavior was characterized by their walking direction. Walking direction could be derived from the angle of the vector connecting consecutively logged locations in the VE. Continuous navigation of each participant was segmented into separate periods (events) during which walking direction stayed within one of six, discrete, 60° bins for longer than 1 s. Stopping continuous movement or shifting walking direction beyond the border of a bin marked the end of an event. Viewing direction of the player was logged directly by the task program and matched the walking direction during forward walking. Backward walking periods were identified by marking periods during which viewing and walking direction differed by 180° (±20°). These events were excluded from the main analysis and considered separately (see below). The resulting direction events were then used to construct general linear models (GLMs) for univariate estimation of direction specific fMRI activation signals.

Since successive directions might be auto-correlated during free navigation (participants change more often from 30° to 60° than to 180°, etc.), performing a GLM on temporally auto-correlated fMRI signals can result in biased pattern similarities (Cai et al., 2019). This effect can lead to spurious similarities between neural patterns of similar walking directions. We reduced this estimation bias by temporally and directionally separating adjacent events on the analysis level. Specifically, we separated odd and even numbered forward walking events and modelled them in two distinct GLMs. This separation of odd and even events ensured that events within the same GLM were separated by at least the minimal duration of another event (1 s) and resulted in an average of 5.1 TRs between two events, which corresponds to 12.25 s (SD = 9.68 s). Such temporal separation exponentially reduced noise correlations between events, as can be illustrated by considering a 1-step autoregressive model of the form...
whereby $\phi_1$, known as the AR(1) coefficient, expresses the relation between the signal $X$ at time $t$ and the same signal during the previous measurement time-point $t - 1$ (constant and error terms are left out for simplicity). The relation between the signal two time steps apart can be found by substituting $X_{t-1}$ in Eqn. (1) by its own auto-regression model, $X_{t-1} \propto \phi_1 X_{t-2}$, and is thus described by $X_t \propto \phi_1^2 X_{t-2}$. The now quadratic AR (1) term shows that the autocorrelation between the two measurements drops exponentially as a function of the number of ‘time steps’ between the measurements, i.e. the AR(1) coefficient of the signal recorded $p$ time steps apart is an exponential function of the AR(1) coefficient $\phi_1$

$$\phi_1^p = \phi_1^1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The value of $\phi_1$ comprises a signal component (similarity of directional representations) and a noise component (effects of previous noise components on following ones, e.g. caused by the slow nature of the hemodynamic response function). It therefore presents an upper bound of noise autocorrelation between consecutive events as some of the correlation might be due to similarities in directional representations. While the average AR(1) coefficient was 0.361 in RSC and 0.442 in EVC, the correlations induced by temporal proximity between events in our GLMs were reduced to only 0.033 (SD = 0.046) and 0.063 (SD = 0.072) respectively. Note that these are average values over more detailed analyses which also revealed higher auto correlation in EVC for younger adults (for details see supplementary material section two). In addition to reducing temporal noise correlations, the separation of neighboring directions into more distant events ensured that temporally adjacent events mostly did not reflect neighboring directions, also reducing correlations among regressors (for details see supplementary material section three).

Directional GLM regressors were built to model data in each half run. Because the experiment contained two runs, events were split in four equal sets for each of the directions. This resulted in 24 direction regressors in total that were later used to perform cross-validated decoding. Direction regressors reflected onsets and duration of events as described above. The average event duration was 3.05 s (SD = 2.12 s). On average there were 114.98 events per subject (SD = 27.70). In addition, six run-specific motion and two run-wise intercept regressors were included, resulting in 38 regressors per GLM.

For an overview of the analysis pipeline see Fig. 2.

### 2.5.2. Classification of directional fMRI patterns

For all classification analyses, a multi-class linear support vector machine was trained on data from three folds and used to predict directions in the hold-out fold. Decoder training/testing was conducted using scikit-learn (version 0.19.1, Pedregosa et al., 2011), nibabel version 2.3.0, available at https://github.com/nipy/nibabel; Brett et al. (2018), and nilearn version 0.4.1, available at https://github.com/nilearn; Abraham et al. (2014) packages in Python 3.6 (Python Software Foundation, version 3.6, available at http://www.python.org). Default settings (L2 penalty, penalty parameter $C = 1$, one-vs-rest multi-class strategy) and a maximum of $10^5$ iterations were used for classifier training.

Our main classification analyses were performed on direction-related beta maps and conducted separately for each GLM and ROI. Cross-validation, by splitting each GLM into four different cross-validation folds, was conducted for the classification analyses. Training and testing across these folds was done by either fitting two models: (1) a uniform model as defined above and (2) a Gaussian model assumed to be less likely the more the predictors diverge from the correct walking direction ($H_1$; red) and a Gaussian pattern should arise if the similarity of beta maps is a function of their angular difference, a prediction of a tuning function-like signal. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)
validated decoding results obtained from odd and even GLMs were averaged afterwards. In addition to testing the classifier on beta maps, we also applied it directly to data from single events. For each individual event, we calculated the precise average direction. This allowed us to relate classifier predictions to higher resolved direction labels of 10° per bin. Furthermore, the classifier was applied to backwards walking events. Because visual and walking direction diverged during backwards walking, this allowed us to quantify the influence of the visual scene on classification accuracy in different ROIs.

To test if classification accuracies exceeded chance level, accuracy levels in each ROI were compared to results from a permutation test (distribution of 1000 classification accuracies arising from training with randomly permuted labels) and to classification accuracy obtained in primary motor cortex (M1), using one-sided paired t-tests. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for multiple comparisons across ROIs.

2.5.3. Influence of directional similarity on representational overlap of fMRI

To test whether fMRI patterns that reflect similar walking directions are more overlapping than patterns associated with less similar walking directions, we analyzed the confusion matrix of the fMRI decoder. The confusion matrix reflects how often each category was decoded given a neural representation associated with each single category, e.g., how often did the classifier predict 120° although the walking direction was actually 60°, etc. In a first step, we aligned the average proportions of classifier predictions around the true direction, and derived an average distribution of predictions around the true category, i.e., at −120°, −60°, 0°, +60°, +120° and ±180°, relative to the target (averaged over folds and odd/even GLMs). This offered a confusion function, reflecting representation similarity/confusibility between two categories as a function of their angular difference (see Fig. 2). We then quantified whether the confusion function reflected a tuning function by fitting a Gaussian bell curve to the data that peaks at the target direction, as done in electrophysiological animal research (Mazurek et al., 2014). The Gaussian curve is described by

\[
g(x) = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\tau^2}}.
\]

where \( x \) is a given direction relative to the target, \( \tau \) reflects the precision of the Gaussian \( (1/\sigma^2) \), and \( Z \) ensures normalization. This model had only one free parameter, the precision \( \tau \) that reflects the width of the tuning function. Note that the precision of the Gaussian bell curve represents the inverse of its variance. While both of these quantities are directly transformable into each other, we chose to use the precision due to its behavior for potentially wide curves where it approaches zero rather than infinity. We compared this model to a null model that assumed evenly distributed off-target predictions independent of direction. According to this model, off-target predictions should be described by

\[
\hat{u}(x-a) = \frac{100 - a}{5},
\]

which uniformly distributes the percentage remaining after subtraction of the value at the target direction, \( a \), a free parameter.

Both models thus had only one free parameter and were compared based on the sum of squared errors (SSE) between the model predictions and the confusion function. Decoding at the correct category (the center of the confusion function) was excluded from the curve fit analysis in order to make the tuning function analysis independent from overall decoding accuracy and avoid a bias towards the uniform model, where model prediction at the center is always matched to the data via the free parameter \( a \). For each ROI, participants’ SSE differences between both models were entered into a one-sided t-test to test for a better fit of the Gaussian vs uniform model. We also derived a tuning function from the classifier predictions when applied to single forward or backward events by quantifying how often each of the 60° labels from the training set was predicted for each of the 10° bins in the test set.

We then compared the fitted precision parameters between age groups and ROIs, testing our hypothesis that directional information is encoded with higher precision in younger compared to older adults (one-sided t-test). Since the precision parameter was non-normally distributed for some cases, tests for group comparisons were chosen accordingly.

2.5.4. Effects of ROI and Age group on classification

To evaluate differences and interactions between ROIs and age groups, we used a model comparison between nested linear-mixed effects (LME) models. All models included a random intercept per participant. Fixed effects were entered in a stepwise inclusion approach: Model 1 included fixed effects of the intercept and the ROI, Model 2 included fixed effects of intercept, ROI and age group, and Model 3 included an additional interaction between ROI and age group. The three models were compared using a likelihood ratio test and followed up by post-hoc t-tests.

Using GLM derived beta maps for classification ensured fully balanced training sets. Yet, imbalances could still exist on the level of events from which regressors were constructed. To check for potential group differences in the number of direction events, a ‘class balance score’ was calculated that reflected the deviation of the event distribution from uniform (root mean squared error between the measured relative number of events belonging to each class and the corresponding uniform distribution). The number of events and balance score of each fold and subject entered a set of nested LME models similar to the ones described above. The models included intercept and age-group (Model 1). No differences between age groups in balance score were found (\( \chi^2(1) \leq 7.45, p \geq 0.388 \)). Likewise, no difference between age groups in number of events were found (\( \chi^2(1) \leq 0.150, p \geq 0.698 \)).

2.5.5. Differentiation of viewing and walking direction

The classifier was trained on forward walking events, during which viewing and walking direction were identical. During backwards walking, however, viewing and walking directions are opposed (180° shifted). Thus, the more a classifier depends on visual information, the more it will predict 180°. During backwards walking, however, viewing and walking directions are opposed (180° shifted). Thus, the more a classifier depends on visual information, the more it will predict 180°. We therefore quantified the influence of visual information on decoding accuracy, as well as on the shape of the confusion function, by comparing classifier predictions for forward versus backwards walking events. Backwards walking events on average made up 26.8% (SD 3.9%) of all events. Note that in both cases the classifier was trained on forward walking events, during which viewing and walking direction were identical. During backwards walking, however, viewing and walking directions are opposed (180° shifted). Thus, the more a classifier depends on visual information, the more it will predict 180°. Backwards walking events on average made up 26.8% (SD = 13.4%) of all events. Note that in both cases the classifier was trained on forward direction beta maps so the amount of backwards walking events did not influence the classifier’s predictions. Visual influence on direction signals was measured by calculating the relative differences in predictions at the target (0°) and opposed (180°) directions between the backward and the forward test set. Additionally, we asked whether the influence of visual information was different in younger and older adults. This would hint towards a broader form of decoding compared to changes in the similarity structure of neural responses to a continuous stimulus. In each ROI, visual influence scores of both age groups were therefore compared using a Welch two sample t-test.

Finally, in a descriptive analysis we assessed how strongly the classifier confusions between different direction bins are related to the similarity of the visual scene associated with each bin. To this end, the 360° visual scene present during the experiment was captured by 72 partly overlapping screenshots (5° increments). Visual similarity between all 72 screenshots was determined using an HMM model (Riesenhuber and Poggio, 1999; Serre and Riesenhuber, 2004), a biologically plausible computational model of the ventral visual stream (C1 layer, scale band of 5). Analogous to the classifier's confusion function, for each 5° increment a similarity function was created.
consisting of the similarity between a screenshot and the screenshots shifted by $-120^\circ$, $-60^\circ$, $0^\circ$, $+60^\circ$, $+120^\circ$, and $+180^\circ$. A similarity function for each of the six directional bins was given by averaging all $5^\circ \times 5^\circ$ increment similarity functions centered within the same directional bin (e.g. $0^\circ$ to $60^\circ$). Classifier confusion functions for each directional bin were derived for each age group by pooling and standardizing participants’ confusion matrices for each classifier category within an age group. To avoid artificially high correlations between the similarity and confusion function of a directional bin, the average respective function over the other five direction bins (reflecting shared any general structure such as a peak at the center) was subtracted from the HMAX-derived and the decoded confusion functions. The resulting similarity and confusion functions of each direction bin were correlated and the resulting six correlation coefficients averaged within each age group.

2.6. Univariate fMRI analysis

In order to compare our results to an univariate approach we used the same six directional regressors as in the main analysis to run participant-specific first level GLMs. Estimated beta maps were entered into six, direction-specific t-contrasts (one for each $60^\circ$ bin) as well as one direction-general F-contrast across all six directions. The resulting six t-contrast images were entered into a second-level GLM following a full factorial two-factor design with the factors age group (two levels) and direction (six levels). The applied contrasts investigated main effects of both factors and their interaction. In contrast to the multivariate analysis, the data was not split into odd and even directional events before entering a first level GLM. Additionally, all functional data was smoothed with an 8 mm kernel, normalized, and transformed into MNI space in order to conduct analyses across participants.

2.7. Behavioral analysis

A detailed analysis of the behavioral results can be found in Schuck et al. (2015). Briefly, location memory was quantified as the Euclidean distance between the remembered and true location during the feedback phase (distance score). Our analyses in the present paper focused on the relation between the Euclidean distance and measures for neural specificity. We therefore used Euclidean distance as the dependent variable in two linear models which contained the factors Age and one ROI specific measures of neural specificity (either decoding accuracy or Gaussian precision). All variables were z-scored before entering the linear model and analyses were conducted in R (version 3.6.1, R Development Core Team, 2011).

3. Results

3.1. Classification of walking direction

Classification accuracies for each ROI can be found in Fig. 3. One-sided permutation tests ($10^4$ iterations) indicated above-chance classification accuracy in the EVC, RSC, and Subiculum (all $p_{\text{adj}} < .006$) but none of the other ROIs ($p_{\text{adj}} > .054$). Only decoding accuracy in the RSC- and EVC masks, however, exceeded classification level in M1 (both $t(42)$

**Fig. 3.** Decoder performance. A: Classification accuracy in each ROI (colored diamonds) compared to distribution arising from $10^4$ decoder runs with permuted labels (white violin plots, single values as black dots). Chance-level performance shown by grey line. ROIs above dashed line show significant above-chance accuracy measured by one-sided permutation tests and adjusted for multiple comparisons. B: Classification accuracies across investigated ROIs compared to M1. Single participant values shown as dots. Group means shown by color matching diamond. ROIs with significantly higher classification accuracies compared to M1 shown above dashed line. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)
Decoding accuracy tended to be higher in younger adults indicated by an increased model fit from including age group ($\chi^2(1) = 10.90$, $p < .001$) and was also higher in EVC than RSC (post-hoc $t$-test, $t(41) = -8.72$, $p_{adj} < .001$). The interaction between ROI and age did not reach significance ($\chi^2(1) = 2.31$, $p = .072$), indicating that differences of age effects between ROIs were not reliable ($t$-tests young vs. old: EVC $t(75) = -3.66$, $p_{adj} < .001$; RSC $t(75) = -1.86$, $p_{adj} = .066$).

### 3.2. Tuning function like representations of direction

To test differences in similarity structure of directional representations, we fitted a Gaussian and a uniform model to the classifier confusion patterns, as described above. A paired $t$-test of model SSEs across groups revealed that the Gaussian curve fitted the classifier confusions better than the opposing uniform model in both the RSC and EVC (all $t(42) > 3.75$, $p_{adj} < .001$). Unlike decoding, tuning function fit exhibited a significant Age $\times$ ROI interaction ($\chi^2(1) = 4.30$, $p = .038$). This reflects the fact that the Gaussian model fitted the data better in EVC compared to RSC in younger but not in older adults (post hoc tests: $t(42) = -4.07$, $p_{adj} < .001$ and $t(42) = -8.4$, $p_{adj} = .812$, respectively).

SSE comparisons can be found in Fig. 4.

### 3.3. Differences in tuning width between age groups

Next, we investigated whether Gaussian precision differed between younger and older adults in either RSC or EVC. Because normality was violated in at least one case (EVC precision in younger adults was non-normally distributed, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, $D = .515$, $p < .001$), we used non-parametric Wilcoxon rank sum tests for these analyses. In EVC, this test indicated significantly higher precision in younger compared to older adults ($W = 138.5$, $p_{adj} = .029$, one-sided). In the RSC, no such effect was found ($t(40.98) = -0.10$, $p_{adj} = .917$, Welch two sample $t$-test, one-sided). ROI-wise comparisons of precision and averaged confusion matrices in EVC for both age groups are shown in Fig. 5.

To achieve higher resolution regarding the similarity of directional signals, and better support for our fitted models, we repeated the above analyses with classifier results when applied to the single event test set. Results of all analyses are shown in Fig. 6. As expected, during forward walking events decoding accuracy was higher than in a permutation test in RSC as well as EVC (all $p_{adj} < .001$; see Fig. 6A). Average high-resolution confusion functions can be found in Fig. 6B. Applying the Gaussian and uniform models to the confusion functions indicated Gaussian like pattern similarities as expected in the RSC and EVC ($t(42) < -5.82$, $p_{adj} < .001$, paired $t$-tests of SSEs associated with each model; see Fig. 6C). Similar to the classifier tested on beta maps, age-group differences in precision of the fitted Gaussians only showed a higher precision in younger adults compared to older adults in the EVC ($t(29.95) < 2.58$, $p_{adj} < .033$, $t(42) < 2.58$, $p_{adj} < .033$). While EVC classification can be expected to be based on visual signals, MRI sensitivity to directional signals in RSC is in line with other investigations (Shine et al., 2016). We therefore proceeded with only these two ROIs for which we had clear evidence we could measure directional signals in the present data set.

Fig. 4. Quantification of tuning function-like signal. A: Comparison between models fitted to confusion functions of RSC and EVC decoder. Depicted are within participant changes in SSE between models (violin plots as in Fig. 3). Individual participant values for both models are connected by grey lines. Top lines with stars indicate significantly better fit of Gaussian model (within subject $t$-tests, one-sided, adjusted). B: Difference in model evidence when comparing RSC and EVC for both age groups. Evidence for Gaussian model is given by $\text{SSE}_{\text{uniform}} - \text{SSE}_{\text{Gaussian}}$, so values above 0 indicate a better fit of the Gaussian model. Top line with star indicates significant differences in a post-hoc $t$-test after correction for multiple comparisons. C: Depiction of confusion functions of RSC and EVC decoder. Participant specific confusion functions shown as thin lines. Thick line shows mean confusion function over all participants.
3.4. Influence of visual scene processing on decoding accuracy

Backwards walking events in the test set allowed us to investigate the influence of viewing direction on classification accuracy in each of the ROIs, since walking and viewing direction are opposite to each other. Visual influence on the directional signal was quantified as a decrease in correct predictions of walking direction combined with a simultaneous increase in 180° shifted predictions (in line with viewing direction) for backward relative to forward walking events. This measure of visual influence was then compared between ROIs.

A comparison of visual influence scores can be seen in Fig. 7A. Visual influence scores were significantly different from 0 (zero would indicate the complete detachment from the visual scene) in both, the RSC (t(42) = 2.53, p = .015), and the EVC (t(42) = 13.84, p < .001). A paired t-test showed a significant difference of visual influence between the EVC and the RSC ROI with lower visual influence in the RSC (t(42) = -7.15, p = .001), indicating qualitative differences in the nature of the decoded representations in RSC versus EVC.

We next asked whether the influence of visual information was different in younger and older adults, hinting at a broader form of dedifferentiation. Visual influence scores were lower in older adults compared to younger adults in EVC (t(33.28) = -3.95, p_{adj} < .001) but not RSC (t(37.51) = - .345, p_{adj} > .999). See Fig. 7B for an age group comparison of visual influence scores. Confusion functions of the decoder trained on forward walking and tested on backward walking are shown in Fig. 7C.

To check if any genuine direction information could still be encoded despite contradicting visual signals, we tested classification accuracy during backwards walking events against chance (permutation test) separately in the EVC (0.139, SD = .040) and RSC (0.151, SD = .034). In both cases accuracy was in fact significantly below chance (0.167, p ≤ .003), reflecting the strong effect of visual input that lead to systematic confusions.

Finally, we quantified the extent to which classifier confusions were related to characteristics of the visual scene. To this end, we calculated the average correlation between the bin-specific confusion functions and the similarity of the visual scenes associated with each bin, separately for each age group. As expected, the correspondence between visual scene similarity and the decoder confusions was generally higher in the EVC compared to the RSC (see Fig. 7D). Interestingly, the correspondence between EVC decoder confusions and visual scene similarity was stronger in younger than in older adults, in line with the decoder performance during backwards walking (see Fig. 7B). The opposite was the case for the RSC where decoder confusions of older adults were stronger related to characteristics of the visual scene. This means that in effect EVC and RSC decoder confusions were both influenced by visual scene similarity in older adults, while in younger adults the informational nature of these two areas was more distinct.

3.5. Univariate fMRI analysis

A significant main effect of direction (p = .001, extent threshold 68 voxels) could be detected in two clusters, one in the visual cortex (peak at x = 6, y = -76, z = 2, cluster extent: 2417 voxel, Z = 6.375) and one in the left parietal cortex (peak at x = -42, y = -52, z = 41, cluster extent: 68 voxel, Z = 3.75). The age group × direction interaction contrast revealed three significant clusters (p = .001, extent threshold 53 voxels). These included the RSC (peak at x = 0, y = -52, z = 29, cluster extent: 436 voxel, Z = 5.13), the left angular gyrus (peak at x = -48, y = -61, z = 29, cluster extent: 324 voxel, Z = 5.17), and the right angular gyrus (peak at x = 51, y = -52, z = 23, cluster extent: 52 voxel, Z = 4.09) indicating differences in the influence of walking direction on the respective contrasts.

To gather additional information about the nature of the interaction in the RSC mask, voxel-specific F-scores from the first level, direction-specific F-contrast for each participant were transformed into adjusted R^2 values and entered into a Welch two sample t-test for differences between age groups. This revealed no significant differences between adjusted R^2 values (t(36.30) = 1.78, p = .083).

3.6. Behavioral results

The group comparison showed that older adults had significantly worse performance (showed larger distance errors) compared to younger adults (t(26.91) = 12.27, p < .001(t(26.91) = 12.27, p < .001, two-sided Welch two sample t-test). Furthermore, we explored relations between task performance and measures for neural specificity in each ROI, predicting distance scores by age group and either decoding accuracy or Gaussian precision. Both measures of neural specificity stemming from a decoder trained and tested on directional beta maps. Predicting distance scores using the predictors age group and decoding accuracy in the RSC showed a negative relation with distance score independent of the age group, indicating worse task performance with less decoding accuracy (r = -1.72, p = .015, r_{younger} = - .542, r_{elder} = - .279). Other linear models did not show any relation between distance score
and measures of neural specificity that was independent of the age group. Relations between either decoding accuracy or Gaussian precision and distance score are shown in Fig. 8A and B, respectively. The normality assumption of the investigated behavioral variable distance score was not violated in either group, as indicated by a Kolmogoroff-Smirnoff-Test ($D > .142, p > .664$).

4. Conclusions

In this study we used fMRI to investigate age-related changes in the specificity of walking direction-sensitive neural signals. More specifically, we asked a set of three hierarchically structured questions: whether it is possible to decode angular walking direction during free movement, if the similarity of neural patterns associated with these directions declines gradually with larger angular differences, as predicted by directional tuning functions, and whether older adults show broadened directional representations.

Using a VR paradigm in which walking direction was mostly informed by visual cues, our results revealed that directional information could be decoded from fMRI patterns in the RSC and EVC, in line with previous investigations (Shine et al., 2016). Classical MVPA analyses revealed age differences in decoding accuracy. Going beyond mere accuracy, we introduced a novel method that allowed us to characterize tuning function-like signals during decoder readout, while minimizing effects of autocorrelations. This analysis demonstrated that, independent of overall classification accuracy, decoder confusions in both ROIs were approximated best by a Gaussian tuning function—indicating a gradual decline of pattern similarity and following the predictions of a tuning-function like signal as found in animal research. Analyzing the width of the fMRI-level tuning function indicated broadened tuning of visual representations in EVC in older relative to younger adults. Unexpectedly, however, no evidence for age differences in tuning width was found in RSC. The changes in visual cortex provide evidence for neural dedifferentiation when specified in terms of broadening of tuning functions, as previously observed in animal studies and suggested by the neural broadening hypothesis (J. Park et al., 2012). Analyses for single trial events confirmed our results and showed that the Gaussian similarity structure persisted when directional signals were resolved at 10° instead of 60°. As walking direction is inextricably linked to a specific visual scene we also quantified the impact of visual information on walking direction decoding by using two different analyses. One focused on analyzing backwards walking events and found that, as expected, RSC signals were less contingent on the visual scene present than EVC, but still exhibited sensitivity to the shown visual input. Interestingly, sensitivity to visual input of EVC decoding during backward walking was less pronounced in older adults. This result was also supported by analyzing classifier predictions during forward walking. Quantifying the visual similarity between scenes displayed when walking in different

---

**Fig. 6.** Analysis of decoders tested on single events. A: Classification accuracies of EVC and RSC decoders when tested on single events instead of beta maps. Depiction as in Fig. 3B. Top lines with stars indicate significant above-chance classification accuracy given by a permutation test (10⁴ permutations, one-sided, adjusted). B: High resolution confusion functions of RSC and EVC decoder with a bin-width of 10°. Depicted as in Fig. 4C. C: Comparison between models fitted to high resolution confusion functions of RSC and EVC decoder. Depicted as in Fig. 4A. Top lines with stars indicate significantly better fit of Gaussian model (paired t-test, one-sided, adjusted). D: Group comparison of precision of Gaussian model fit to high resolution confusion function for RSC and EVC. Plots displayed as in Fig. 5A. Top line with star indicates significantly higher precision in younger adults (one-sided t-test, adjusted).
directions showed that the EVC decoding in younger adults had numerically stronger relations to these visual aspects than in older adults. The reverse was true in RSC, where decoder confusions seemed more related to visual input in older than in younger adults. Finally, a univariate fMRI analysis showed that EVC but not the RSC activity was modulated by the current walking direction, presumably reflecting differences in visual input that existed between different directions (main effect of direction). The fact that univariate analyses did not indicate directional modulation of RSC signals highlighted the superior ability of the multivariate approach in finding voxels carrying directional information. A age group × direction interaction in the RSC revealed age differences in direction-specific responses in the RSC (i.e. which directions they showed more or less activation for).

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate potential age-related changes in tuning functions defined over a continuous domain, rather than using discrete categories (J. Park et al., 2012; Koen et al., 2019). This is a notable distinction from previous research since mechanisms of age-related changes are likely different in these two cases: dedifferentiated responses within local circuits, which code the same continuous quantity, are related to changes in local inhibitory control, such as GABAergic interneurons (Leventhal et al., 2003); cross-areal dedifferentiation conceivably reflects a range of different mechanisms, including changes in long range connectivity or task strategies (Reuter-Lorenz and Lustig, 2005; Reuter-Lorenz and Cappell, 2008). Moreover, investigating continuous encoding of direction allowed us to test the claims made by the neural broadening hypothesis more directly: does a tuning function defined over continuous space change with age? Our findings in EVC converge with previous findings, but the apparent lack of evidence for a similar process in the RSC represents a notable deviation from previous findings and warrants further investigation.

While it is likely that the measured signal in the RSC or thalamus contains directional information influenced by head direction cells (Shine et al., 2016), effects in the EVC are likely to be based on visual inputs drawn from a continuous visual scene. Note, however, that navigation in our task, as in daily life, was largely based on visual input. Hence, even genuine direction signals must have been derived from visual input. This aspect was reflected in the fact that even RSC signals were somewhat sensitive to the opposing visual input during backward walking. Our results suggesting neural broadening in the early visual system converge with findings in single cell recordings demonstrating wider tuning functions in senescent monkeys confronted with a visual stimulus of various orientations (Leventhal et al., 2003). Because visual orientation signalling occurs earlier in the visual hierarchy than scene detection, it is possible that this process drives the present findings in EVC and suggests that the introduced method to investigate neural broadening might be sensitive to tuning curve related changes.

While not necessarily exclusive, there are other possible explanations for the findings in the early visual cortex. One includes altered peripher al processing of visual information of older adults. Although participants were only included in case they reported normal or corrected to normal vision, differences in neural specificity and directional tuning could be due to differences in the perceptual system (e.g. more blurry vision in older adults). This could impede the specificity of representations in the early visual cortex from the bottom up without any age-related differences in the responsible neural systems. Also behavioral differences related to perception, e.g. differences in saccadic eye movements are possible factors to consider in this regard. Note, however, that univariate analyses of the same dataset from our earlier work did not indicate age differences in basic visual processing (see Schuck et al., 2008). Moreover, investigating continuous encoding of direction strategies (Reuter-Lorenz and Lustig, 2005; Koen et al., 2019). This is a notable distinction from previous research since mechanisms of age-related changes are likely different in these two cases: dedifferentiated responses within local circuits, which code the same continuous quantity, are related to changes in local inhibitory control, such as GABAergic interneurons (Leventhal et al., 2003); cross-areal dedifferentiation conceivably reflects a range of different mechanisms, including changes in long range connectivity or task strategies (Reuter-Lorenz and Lustig, 2005; Reuter-Lorenz and Cappell, 2008). Moreover, investigating continuous encoding of direction allowed us to test the claims made by the neural broadening hypothesis more directly: does a tuning function defined over continuous space change with age? Our findings in EVC converge with previous findings, but the apparent lack of evidence for a similar process in the RSC represents a notable deviation from previous findings and warrants further investigation.

While it is likely that the measured signal in the RSC or thalamus contains directional information influenced by head direction cells (Shine et al., 2016), effects in the EVC are likely to be based on visual inputs drawn from a continuous visual scene. Note, however, that navigation in our task, as in daily life, was largely based on visual input. Hence, even genuine direction signals must have been derived from visual input. This aspect was reflected in the fact that even RSC signals were somewhat sensitive to the opposing visual input during backward walking. Our results suggesting neural broadening in the early visual system converge with findings in single cell recordings demonstrating wider tuning functions in senescent monkeys confronted with a visual stimulus of various orientations (Leventhal et al., 2003). Because visual orientation signalling occurs earlier in the visual hierarchy than scene detection, it is possible that this process drives the present findings in EVC and suggests that the introduced method to investigate neural broadening might be sensitive to tuning curve related changes.

While not necessarily exclusive, there are other possible explanations for the findings in the early visual cortex. One includes altered peripheral processing of visual information of older adults. Although participants were only included in case they reported normal or corrected to normal vision, differences in neural specificity and directional tuning could be due to differences in the perceptual system (e.g. more blurry vision in older adults). This could impede the specificity of representations in the early visual cortex from the bottom up without any age-related differences in the responsible neural systems. Also behavioral differences related to perception, e.g. differences in saccadic eye movements are possible factors to consider in this regard. Note, however, that univariate analyses of the same dataset from our earlier work did not indicate age differences in basic visual processing (see Schuck et al., 2008).
idea was also supported by a study by Koen et al., 2019 where the connection between neural dedifferentiation and memory performance classification accuracy in the RSC, it remains unclear if this process is ever, also be applied to other continuous variables, e.g. the perception of differences in directional signal specificity could be found in areas by comparing confusion functions of different visual levels be to identify a gradient of the reported age-related differences over visual the scene viewed during navigation. An answer to this question could help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigally categorized and rather describes a process which is happening throughout the adult lifespan (Rugg, 2016). This idea was also supported by a study by Koen et al., 2019 where the connection between neural dedifferentiation and memory performance was also age invariant.

The reason why no evidence for neural broadening and/or age-differences in directional signal specificity could be found in areas associated with a less visually dominated signal remains unclear. Notably, in light of large performance differences in the same sample, this suggests an interesting disconnect between behavioral performance in the task we used and directional coding in the RSC. One possible explanation for the absence of neural broadening could be that during the VR task in the fMRI scanner no matching vestibular information is provided to the participant. The vestibular system has been identified as a possible source of internal noise during the process of path integration (Stangl et al., 2018), a skill heavily relying on HD signal (McNaughton et al., 2006) and heavily influenced by older age (Adamo et al., 2012). As this error source is eliminated by lying motionless during the task, age-differences might diminish. Furthermore, the resulting finding could have been limited by the resolution of directional categories. Smaller bins of directions when training the decoder would increase the resolution and accuracy of the investigated confusion functions. In order to exclude the possibility of neural broadening of directional signals in the human brain a similar approach with a more specialized paradigm should be conducted. It should, however, also be mentioned that, to the best of our knowledge, currently no evidence exists that directionally tuned cells are subject to neural broadening. Moreover, decoder predictions in younger adults were more strongly related to the visual input in the EVC compared to the RSC, while in older adults visual input had a similar influence in both ROIs. One possible interpretation of this finding is that with older age, the walking direction signal in the RSC becomes more strongly influenced by visual input. In this particular task, such a less differentiated signal in the RSC might prove useful due to the inherent link between viewing and walking directions. This could also explain why we did not find any broadening of tuning functions in RSC with increased age. Moreover, the finding that different directions evoked high univariate RSC activity in older versus younger adults was another hint that relatively maintained RSC function in older adults co-occurs with changes in its computational nature. While this is highly speculative, the idea that the aging brain changes its functional organization in the face of losses has previously been proposed by several investigations (Reuter-Lorenz and Cappell, 2008; Davis et al., 2008; Cabeza et al., 2002; Reuter-Lorenz and Park, 2010; Schuck et al., 2015) and also been supported by a number of our own genetic investigations in spatial (Schuck et al., 2013a) and non-spatial tasks (Schuck et al., 2013b, 2018). Yet, note that we did not see higher visual influence scores in the RSC in older adults, a central prediction of this idea.

It is important to note that this paper presents a reanalysis of data collected during a task that was not specifically designed for the purpose of this study. While the lack of experimental control made navigation more naturalistic, the confounded visual and non-visual directional signal, autocorrelations between directions, and non-uniform distribution over travelled directions presented analytical challenges. In this paper we show how these challenges can be addressed analytically by reducing autocorrelations and characterizing the amount of visual influence on neural representations. Yet, the introduced limitations would be less severe in a tailored experiment which could increase analytic sensitivity.

Another limitation regarding the generalization of these results includes the solely male participants. While this avoided effects based on participant’s sex the findings should not be generalized to female populations without further validation.

With regard to the visual results a few open questions remain. To highlight one, it would be of interest to see if EVC-based walking direction classification is primarily driven by foveal or peripheral parts of the scene viewed during navigation. An answer to this question could help to further understand how visual information is passed on to navigationally-relevant regions and in which specific way aging might be altering this process. Future studies should therefore aim to include a retinotopic mapping of the EVC for more detailed and individual partialisation and the option to compare confusion functions of classifiers based on foveal and peripheral voxels. Additionally, this could also help to identify a gradient of the reported age-related differences over visual areas by comparing confusion functions of different visual levels between age groups.

One important open question relates to the relation between our confusion function-based measure for neural specificity over continuous variables and changes in neurotransmitter systems. Contemporary models have linked neural dedifferentiation to less reliable or reduced DA-related signalling in the aging brain (Li and Rieckmann, 2014), a
dominant aspect of the aging brain that is known to influence learning (e.g., Epping et al., 2013) and spatial navigation in this task (Thurm et al., 2016). The effect of changing DA levels in younger and older adults on neural specificity measured over a continuous variable could provide more detailed insights towards the mechanisms behind neural dedifferentiation and the role of DA in the aging brain. Moreover, understanding the role of GABA in this process is important given its known influence on neural broadening (Leventhal et al., 2003; Lalwani et al., 2019). Future studies employing neurotransmitter imaging, pharmacological interventions and genetic or pharmacogenetic approaches therefore promise to shed more light on age-related changes in local tuning functions and cross domain dedifferentiation that characterize the human brain.
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